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### Company

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFS0391 SO 875 486, Green Street</th>
<th>Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site is approx. 1km from Kempsey from Worcester.</th>
<th>Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site is approx. 1km from Kempsey from Worcester.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFS0505 Land off Post Office Lane</td>
<td>Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12)</td>
<td>Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12) - Site adjacent to Site of Regional or Local Wildlife Importance - Kempsey & Stonehall Common and Bescot Wood (Kempsey) and 3 areas of contaminated land.
- Contaminated land, 2 areas of potential land contamination, large area running north to south of site susceptible to surface water flooding and potential contaminated land would require further examination, parts of site susceptible to surface water flooding and oil pipeline and north-east of site susceptible to surface water flooding.
- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12) - Isolated location.

### Key Services

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12) - Community services - including GP practice, healthcare, education, community and leisure facilities (Policy K8) and promoting safe, accessible modes of transport (Policy K15).

### Flood Zone

- No. Development of the site would reduce the gap that separates Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site 550m from North Ham (Policy K12) (Kempsey), and development of the site: would result in an adverse impact on flood zone and would conflict with adoption Neighbourhood Plan.

### Air Quality and Pollutants

- No. Development of the site: would result in an adverse impact on air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up to a primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and leisure facilities (Policy K8) and promoting safe, accessible modes of transport (Policy K15).

### Contaminated Land

- No. Development of the site: would result in an adverse impact on Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standards Comments - No History of Stale or Poor Air Quality Activities."


- **CFS0670 Land at Brookend, Holdings Lane**
  - Vacant. Adjacent to Draycott House
  - Agriculture and residential
  - No
  - No
  - No. Adjacent to the Significant Gap
  - No
  - No
  - Agriculture
  - No. Adjacent to the Significant Gap
  - Severn Trent - Site could pump directly to the works
  - No
  - No
  - No. Contaminated land (sewerage) adjacent to the site
  - No. Site 70m from Flood Zone 3
  - No
  - Yes. Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification
  - Out. Isolated location. High agricultural land value.
  - No
  - Yes. Development of the site would reduce the gap that separates Kempsey from Worcester, susceptible to surface water flooding and PRoW runs through from site.

- **CFS0671 Land at Brookend Lane**
  - No.
  - Yes. Whole site in Significant Gap
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - No
  - Yes. Development of the site would extend eastern boundary of Kempsey to the M5 motorway. Isolated location

- Neighbourhood Plan identifies a number of potential infrastructure initiatives that could benefit from CIL including providing new community, recreation facilities, Kempsey Youth Centre and Bannut Hill Local Green Infrastructure Network?

- Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?

- Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Wildlife/Landscape site?

- Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling distance from site.

- Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.

- Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public road? Please state what they are.

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Site includes Large areas of site susceptible to surface water flooding - western and centre of the site and proximity to sewerage plant.

- Site not considered appropriate because of scale, isolated location, high agricultural land value, not coterminous with Policy K4 (Significant Gap).

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Neighbourhood Plan identifies a number of potential infrastructure initiatives that could benefit from CIL including providing new community, recreation and sports facilities (Policy K10), improving community and leisure facilities (Policy K8) and promoting safe, accessible green infrastructure networks.

- Potential impact on listed buildings (Grade 1 agricultural land). Also, parts of site susceptible to surface water flooding. Potentially impact on listed buildings.

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Neighbourhood Plan made in November 2017. Site includes Large areas of site susceptible to surface water flooding - western and centre of the site and proximity to sewerage plant.

- Site not considered appropriate because of scale, isolated location, high agricultural land value, not coterminous with Policy K4 (Significant Gap).

- Neighbourhood Plan - made in November 2017. Neighbourhood Plan identifies a number of potential infrastructure initiatives that could benefit from CIL including providing new community, recreation and sports facilities (Policy K10), improving community and leisure facilities (Policy K8) and promoting safe, accessible green infrastructure networks.

- Potential impact on listed buildings (Grade 1 agricultural land). Also, parts of site susceptible to surface water flooding. Potentially impact on listed buildings.