

Précis of SAP Village Questionnaires PART 2

Village: REMAINING RURAL VILLAGES		Part 2 Possible Sites																																							
Summary of questionnaire responses:																																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SITE</th> <th>FOR COUNT</th> <th>AGAINST COUNT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MHHC12</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHLS03</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHLS06</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHLW01</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHST01</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHST02</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHSH01</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHSH03</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHSH04</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHAC01</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MHAC02</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All Sites</td> <td>9</td> <td>7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SITE	FOR COUNT	AGAINST COUNT	MHHC12	1		MHLS03	2	1	MHLS06	1		MHLW01		2	MHST01	1		MHST02	1		MHSH01	1	1	MHSH03	1		MHSH04	1	1	MHAC01		1	MHAC02		1	All Sites	9	7	
SITE	FOR COUNT	AGAINST COUNT																																							
MHHC12	1																																								
MHLS03	2	1																																							
MHLS06	1																																								
MHLW01		2																																							
MHST01	1																																								
MHST02	1																																								
MHSH01	1	1																																							
MHSH03	1																																								
MHSH04	1	1																																							
MHAC01		1																																							
MHAC02		1																																							
All Sites	9	7																																							
Summary of site MHHC12 comments:																																									
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>FOR</th> <th>AGAINST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change has got to happen, it can be done sympathetically, if given chance </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			FOR	AGAINST	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change has got to happen, it can be done sympathetically, if given chance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 																																			
FOR	AGAINST																																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change has got to happen, it can be done sympathetically, if given chance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 																																								
Summary of site MHLS03 comments:																																									
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>FOR</th> <th>AGAINST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village. </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MHSL03 site is within the protected Malvern - Leigh Sinton "significant gap" (DS17). </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			FOR	AGAINST	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MHSL03 site is within the protected Malvern - Leigh Sinton "significant gap" (DS17). 																																			
FOR	AGAINST																																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MHSL03 site is within the protected Malvern - Leigh Sinton "significant gap" (DS17). 																																								
Summary of site MHLS06 comments:																																									
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>FOR</th> <th>AGAINST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village and running up to the edge of Malvern town. </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			FOR	AGAINST	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village and running up to the edge of Malvern town. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 																																			
FOR	AGAINST																																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is suitable for development because it is adjacent to Leigh Sinton village and running up to the edge of Malvern town. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 																																								
Summary of site MHLW01 comments:																																									
<table> <thead> <tr> <th>FOR</th> <th>AGAINST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Boundaries indicated are incorrect. There are 4 existing listed (Grade II) dwellings shown. None of these are available. Vehicular access to a 4th House has also been included. Overhead power lines cross the whole site. Poor highway access and mains drainage. No village amenities to support any large scale residential development. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			FOR	AGAINST	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Boundaries indicated are incorrect. There are 4 existing listed (Grade II) dwellings shown. None of these are available. Vehicular access to a 4th House has also been included. Overhead power lines cross the whole site. Poor highway access and mains drainage. No village amenities to support any large scale residential development. 																																			
FOR	AGAINST																																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Boundaries indicated are incorrect. There are 4 existing listed (Grade II) dwellings shown. None of these are available. Vehicular access to a 4th House has also been included. Overhead power lines cross the whole site. Poor highway access and mains drainage. No village amenities to support any large scale residential development. 																																								

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This site is not in a sustainable settlement, Little Witley should only qualify as a category 4 village. There is no need for development of this site. Local infrastructure is totally inadequate e.g. drainage and access. The site itself is on sandstone, crossed by power lines and could be a haven for wildlife. The site could be put to use by the parish as a public open space.
<p>Summary of site MHST01 comments:</p> <p>FOR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good access - positioned safe side of road, adjoining main road A38, outside flood plain, centre of village, small site - any development would be unobtrusive. 	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">
<p>Summary of site MHST02 comments:</p> <p>FOR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is immediately available for development, free from environmental constraints and well-related to the village. It would also reinforce the linear pattern of development in Birch Lane. 	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">
<p>Summary of site MSH01 comments:</p> <p>FOR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> suitable for development, they are relatively small and have ease of access onto the B4196. If the sites were approved it would be much needed regeneration to the village where hopefully people of all ages would be able to enjoy a life in the peace and quiet of the countryside. 	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessed from New Inn Lane, all unadopted roads onto the B496 are at an extremely dangerous junction, taking a blind bend on a brow of a hill. Further traffic onto this road would only lead to further danger. The site is also in a valley and would appear to include part of a public car park.
<p>Summary of site MSH04 comments:</p> <p>FOR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> suitable for development, they are relatively small and have ease of access onto the B4196. If the sites were approved it would be much needed regeneration to the village where hopefully people of all ages would be able to enjoy a life in the peace and 	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This stretch of the B-road is very dangerous and features a blind-bend and a single footpath outside of our main gate. We have personally witnessed three serious accidents over the past few years. Additional housing, traffic and new residents will

quiet of the countryside.

almost certainly only serve to exacerbate this problem.

Summary of site MSH05 comments:

FOR

- suitable for development, they are relatively small and have ease of access onto the B4196. If the sites were approved it would bring much needed regeneration to the village where hopefully people of all ages would be able to enjoy a life in the peace and quiet of the countryside.

AGAINST

-

Summary of site MHAC01 comments:

FOR

-

AGAINST

- Astley and Dunley would be strongly opposed to any development, in particular MHAC01 and MHAC02 land abutting Wyre Forest District Council Larford Lane to Areley Kings (area known as The Snipes and surrounds).

Summary of site MHAC02 comments:

FOR

-

AGAINST

- Astley and Dunley would be strongly opposed to any development, in particular MHAC01 and MHAC02 land abutting Wyre Forest District Council Larford Lane to Areley Kings (area known as The Snipes and surrounds).