

Précis of SAP Village Questionnaires PART 2

Village: KEMPSEY		Part 2 Possible Sites	
Summary of questionnaire responses:			
	SITE	FOR COUNT	AGAINST COUNT
	MHKY01	2	1
	MHKY02	1	4
	MHKY03	0	1
	MHKY04	3	1
	MHKY05	0	3
	MHKY06	3	1
	MHKY08	2	2
	MHKY09	2	6
	MHKY10	0	1
	MHKY11	0	2
	MHKY12	0	1
	MHKY13	0	1
	MHKY14	0	1
	MHKY15	0	1
	MHKY16	1	10
	MHKY17	0	1
	MHKY18	0	1
	MHKY19	0	1
	MHKY20	0	1
	MHKY21	3	3
	MHKY22	1	9
	MHKY23	1	1
	MHKY24	1	1
	MHKY25	0	1
	MHKY26	0	1
	All Sites	20	56
Summary of site MHKY01 comments:			
FOR		AGAINST	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site is suitable to provide for increased housing allocation. Site not too large, close to amenities. The Problem is where is the surface water going. Holding tanks- discharge it The Severn and Hatfield Brook are in Flood now- any building produces more surface water- the Severn eventually drains the county 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off 	

Summary of site MHKY02 comments:

FOR

- Site is suitable to provide for increased housing allocation.

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
- Good agricultural land that floods. We have lovely open space here, and certainly don't want buildings here
- It will make the jump to Worcester City Boundary closer and Kempsey wishes to remain a village and not be joined to Worcester by Development.
- Flooding due to bad drainage. Extra water from more building will overload the brook.
- Increased traffic and a poorer living environment, including air quality, undermining the economy and quality of life in Worcs, as written in the Worcester Transport Strategy Forward .My objection to any development in and around Kempsey - Let us first get the traffic moving!

Summary of site MHKY03 comments:

FOR

No comment made

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY04 comments:

FOR

- Plenty of Space- easy access on to A38
- Edge of Village, main road adjacent
- Land at The Lawns should be specifically designated for CCRC (Continuing Care Retirement Community Use).

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY05 comments:

FOR

No comment made

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY06 comments:

FOR

- Land adjoining The Limes, Kempsey should be allocated for residential use. It is in a sustainable location with convenient access to utility services. It is not subject to flooding or in the flood plain.
- Parish Council would look with greater favour on housing development in the area behind lawns, at Bight Farm and Old Road South, but would consider housing development in and around Napelton Farm and Draycott unfavourably.

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY08 comments:

FOR

- Suitable for employment
- much of the land is sandwiched between this development and the garage to the north. The site is therefore suitable for non-residential uses

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
- Increased traffic and a poorer living environment, including air quality, undermining, the economy and quality of life in Worcs, as written in the Worcester Transport Strategy Forward .My objection to any development in and around Kempsey - Let us first get the traffic moving!

Summary of site MHKY09 comments:

FOR

- Site not too large, close to amenities. Where is the surface water going? Holding tanks- discharge it The Severn and Hatfield Brook are in Flood now- any building produces more surface water- the Severn

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
- Site is on Post Office Lane which is already suffering severe congestion from School traffic

<p>eventually drains the county</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is suitable for allocation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flooding issues • Road Access via Post Office lane is narrow 2) Junction with A38 is very restricted and already dangerous 3) Increased traffic near school and A38 4) Significant portion of proposed site is waterlogged for most of the year • The junior school in Ellsdon causes daily problems already with an unofficial one-way system used by most. A similar problem arises with the Day Nursery in P.O Lane. The land dips and is already very wet. P.O Lane is sometimes closed at the S-bend due to flooding.
<p>Summary of site MHKY10 comments: FOR</p>	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
<p>Summary of site MHKY11 comments: FOR</p>	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off • Increased traffic and a poorer living environment, including air quality, undermining, the economy and quality of life in Worcs, as written in the Worcester Transport Strategy Forward .My objection to any development in and around Kempsey - Let us first get the traffic moving!
<p>Summary of site MHKY12 comments: FOR</p>	<p>AGAINST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY13 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY14 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

-

Summary of site MHKY15 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY16 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- The existing playing field needs extending to cater for an additional football pitch and other game facilities. A village hall/community centre is needed to cater for indoor sports such as badminton.
- MHKY22 could be developed for additional housing
- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
- It is popular for walking, with historic cottages and houses. Napleton Grange was Sir Edward Elgar's home for several years, and the landscape was very dear to Elgar's heart. There are mature trees with many significant colonies of mistletoe and nesting sites for birds. Traffic would increase down Bestman's lane, and Napleton Lane is unsuited to any increase in the volume of traffic. Drainage is also a problem.

-

Summary of site MHKY17 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY18 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY19 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY20 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY21 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY22 comments:

FOR

- good access from the A38.
- It doesn't flood Good road Access, though road improvements would need to be made.
- The existing playing field needs extending to cater for an additional football pitch and other game facilities. A village hall/community centre is needed to cater for indoor sports such as badminton.

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off
- The land (MHKY22) is adjacent to the A38 and is under water most of the year. Kempsey should not expand in a linear fashion, merging it with the historic hamlet of Draycott
- MHKY 22 Liable to flooding. Do not wish to connect Draycott and Kempsey together. Bestmans Lane and A38 More dangerous with more access?
- Consider housing development in and around Napelton Farm and Draycott unfavourably. The Parish Council is very concerned that there should not be any further ribbon development southwards along the A38 or northward on the A38 beyond the point where Pixham Ferry Lane adjoins the A38 or northward on the A38 beyond the present settlement boundary

Summary of site MHKY23 comments:

FOR

- Suitable for housing allocation being on the eastern edge of the village.

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY24 comments:

FOR

- Suitable for housing allocation being on the eastern edge of the village.

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY25 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of site MHKY26 comments:

FOR

AGAINST

- No Sites suitable in Kempsey until a new sewage system is created. More housing would increase surface run-off

Summary of suggested sites not identified through the SHLAA:

- The Parish Council is very concerned that there should not be any further ribbon development southwards along the A38 or northward on the A38 beyond the point where Pixham Ferry Lane adjoins the A38 or northward on the A38 beyond the present settlement boundary, as this would accentuate the already elongated nature of the village to the detriment of social cohesion and access to services (medical, social, sport, education, shopping and postal services).
- When all these houses are built, will Kempsey be designated a town?
- Upton is equal to Kempsey in population, but has all the amenities and bus links to Malvern and Worcester. More Buildings causes flooding
- Until the government understands the flooding problem and solves it, how can we consider more development which will only make the lives of people downstream miserable? Food production necessary as the world population expands so building on the best agricultural land should be avoided.
- The Village is already the size of a large town without the facilities
- Perhaps when the North West Link is formed, maybe, our traffic problems will be solved. Then we can concentrate on cycle ways, footpaths etc. Finally when the infrastructure is in place, build more houses.
- Kempsey has very limited infrastructure capacity - a full school oversubscribed already; one shop; (potentially) a part time post office; part-time medical facilities. On this basis the proposed development in Kempsey should be at the minimal level during the development period.