

Appendix B: Strategic Sites: Chronology of Identification, Assessment, Refinement & Development of Options to progress Preferred Options

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Worcester									
Supporting text of Policy CS3: South/south east of the city for approximately 3,000 dwellings together with 25 ha of employment.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - South: Land South of Worcester City (3,000 dwellings, 18-25 ha employment, Primary School, Local Centre).	SWDP 8: development Around Worcester - Policy SWDP8/1 - Broomhall Community and Norton Barracks community (Worcester South Urban Extension) (approx. 2,450 dwellings, 20 ha employment)	Subject to individual SA, - Policy SWDP8/1 - Broomhall Community and Norton Barracks community (Worcester South Urban Extension) (approx. 2,450 dwellings, 20 ha employment)	SOC41 / 42 - Minor changes to text.	Changes considered in Appendix 11 of SA Report.	SWDP 45: Directions for growth outside the City boundary - Policy A. SWDP45/1 Broomhall Community and Norton Barracks Community (Worcester South urban extension) (20ha employment and approx. 2450 dwellings).	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).	Reduction in housing provision by 711 (new total 1739)	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.
Supporting text of Policy CS3: West/north west of the city and would	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - West/ North West: Land North of Worcester	SWDP 8: development Around Worcester - Policy SWDP8/2 Temple	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP8/2 Temple Laughern (Worcester	No change.	No change.	SWDP 45: Directions for growth outside the City boundary - Policy B. SWDP45/2	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and	Increase in housing provision by 1175 dwellings (new total of 2150).	Fresh SA of site provided in Appendix D of the SA Addendum 2014.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
accommodate approximately 3,500 dwellings together with 16ha of employment.	City 349.9ha (3,500 dwellings, 15 ha employment, secondary school, local centre).	Laughern (Worcester West Urban Extension) (approx. 975 dwellings, 5 ha employment land).	West Urban Extension) (approx. 975 dwellings, 5 ha employment land).			Temple Laughern (Worcester West urban extension) (approx. 975 dwellings and 5 ha employment).	November 2012.		
Supporting text of Policy CS3: Fernhill Heath is currently a Category 2 settlement despite being the second largest village in Wychavon. However, the village's close proximity to the Worcester City boundary means there is potential to meet some of the City's housing	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Fernhill Heath (in conjunction with Hindlip Community Village) 33.7ha (500 dwellings).	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.64 (pg 85).	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.1 (pg 40).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
requirement. It is considered that 500 dwellings could be accommodated as a greenfield extension to the north west of the settlement outside the Green Belt.									
Supporting text of Policy CS3: The remaining 300 dwellings will be built on greenfield site adjacent to the urban area at Kilbury Drive to the south east of Worcester.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land East of Kilbury Drive 10.84ha (300 dwellings).	SWDP 8: development Around Worcester - Policy SWDP 8/3 – Kilbury (approx. 300 dwellings).	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP 8/3 – Kilbury (approx. 300 dwellings).	SOC043 - Reduction in number of dwellings by 50 to 250.	Changes considered in Appendix 11 of SA Report.	SWDP 45: Directions for growth outside the City boundary - Policy C. SWDP45/3 Kilbury Drive (Worcester East urban extension) (250 dwellings).	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).	Increase in housing provision by 6 dwellings (new total of 256).	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.
Supporting text of Policy CS3: 25ha	Not subject to SA.	SWDP 8: development Around	Subject to individual SA - Policy	No changes.	No changes.	SWDP 45: Directions for growth outside	No change, SA of site presented in	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
regional investment site in vicinity of Junction 6 of the M5.		Worcester - Policy SWDP8/5 Worcester Technology Park.	SWDP8/5 Worcester Technology Park.			the City boundary - Policy E. SWDP45/5 Worcester Technology Park.	SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.		SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.
Alternative: More housing in the City. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.29 (pg 43).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.58 - 5.60 (pg 83).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Concentration of development to the east crossing over the M5. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.30 (pg 43).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.63 (pg 84).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Concentration to the	Not subject to SA, as not considered a	Alternative: Option rejected,	Not subject to SA, as not considered a	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
north. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.31 (pg 43).	reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	reasons provided in para 5.64 (pg 85).	reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.						
Alternative: A freestanding settlement. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.32 (pg 43).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.68 (pg 85).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Development in the Worcestershire Parkway area. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.33 (pg 43).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.69 (pg 85).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Reduced Employment Land Allocations. Option	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
rejected, reasons provided in para 5.34 (pg 44).	reasons set out in the Plan.	para 5.70 (pg 86).	reasons set out in the Plan.						
	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - North: Land North of Worcester City (Bevere) 20.43ha (500 dwellings)	SWDP 8: development Around Worcester - Policy SWDP8/4 - Gwillam's Farm (approx. 300 dwellings).	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP8/4 - Gwillam's Farm (approx. 300 dwellings).	SOC044 - Reduction in number of dwellings by 50 to 250 and changed boundary to reflect this.	Changes considered in Appendix 11 of SA Report.	SWDP 45: Directions for growth outside the City boundary - Policy D. SWDP45/4 Gwillam's Farm (Worcester North urban extension) (250 dwellings).	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).	Reduction in housing provision by 5 dwellings (new total of 245 dwellings).	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.
	Church Farm (600 dwellings) - Subject to detailed individual SA matrix.	Reasons for rejection provided in SWDP PO (2011) para 5.64, pg 85 and SWDP PO (2009) para 5.22, pg 72 - concerns about impact on green network and landscape.	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.1 (pg 41).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
		Reasons for rejection also provided in DRAFT BACKGROUND PAPER NO. 2 Assessment of broad locations for development growth and Strategic Site Allocations paras 4.37 and 4.11, June 2011.							
	Middle and Upper Battenhall Farm (400 plus dwellings) - Subject to detailed individual SA matrix.	Reasons for rejection provided in SWDP PO (2009) para 5.22, pg 72 - concerns about impact on green network and landscape.	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.1 (pg 41).	N/A - Option rejected. Reasons provided in Schedule of responses to representations set out in Papers for Tuesday 3 July 2012 Full Council Meetings – Issues and Responses	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
				Appendix 1: Full Schedule of Issues and Responses : SWDP 6 – Worcester Middle Battenhall Farm & SWDP 6 – Worcester Upper Battenhall Farm.					
	Hindlip Sports Village 260ha (2,500 dwellings) - Subject to detailed individual SA matrix.	Alternative: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.65 (pg 85).	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.1 (pg 41).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Alternative: Location of majority of development in an urban extension to the West of Worcester. Option rejected, reasons	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
		provided in para 5.61 (pg 84).							
		Alternative: Location of majority of development in an urban extension to the South of Worcester. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.62 (pg 84).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Alternative: Specialist Criminal Justice Park at West Mercia Police Headquarters at Hindlip. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.66 (pg 85).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
		Alternative: Sub Regional Employment Site Adjacent to Junction 7. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 5.67 (pg 85).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
								WC004 – East of Swinesherd Way (300 dwellings). Also known as SWDP45/a	SA of site now provided in Appendix D of the SA Addendum 2014.
Droitwich Spa									
Supporting text of Policy CS5: South - residential and mixed use development comprising 1,500 dwellings at the Area of Development Restraint identified in	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Copcut Lane 44.82ha (800 dwellings, 5 ha employment)	Policy SWDP 10: Copcut Lane (approx. 740 dwellings, 3.5 ha employment, local neighbourhood centre).	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP 10: Copcut Lane (approx 740 dwellings, 3.5 ha employment)	No change.	Appendix 11 of SA Report states that Policy SWDP 10 deleted as Planning permission for dwellings and 3.2 ha employment land already granted.	SWDP 49: Droitwich Spa Urban Extension - Policy A. SWDP49/1 Copcut Lane (approx. 740 dwellings, 3.5 ha employment).	The site has planning permission but development has yet to begin, therefore the site is still in the Plan.	Phasing of development changed.	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Wychavon District Local Plan referred to as Copcut Lane and greenfield sites either side of Chawson Lane.									
Supporting text of Policy CS5: Residential development , comprising 250 dwellings on greenfield land referred to as the north of Pulley Lane.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Yew Tree Hill 61.04ha (750 dwellings)	Alternative to Copcut Lane: Option rejected, reasons provided in Para 6.28 (pg 94)	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.2 (pg 41).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	Option included again - WY045 - Yew Tree Hill (800 dwellings)	SA of site now provided in Appendix D of the SA Addendum 2014.
Supporting text of Policy CS5: 300 dwellings on spatial diagram at Salwarpe	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given significant accessibility issues.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Alternative: East of M5, significant development in the Green Belt and close to Westwood House. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 7.17 (pg 57).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative to Copcut Lane: Option rejected, reasons provided in Para 6.23 (pg 93).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: More growth to the villages and direct growth to a new settlement. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 7.18 (pg 57).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative to Copcut Lane: Option rejected, reasons provided in Para 6.29 (pg 94).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
	Hill End (250 dwellings) - Subject to detailed	No reference to site.	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
	individual SA matrix		Table 5.2 (pg 41).						
		Alternative to Copcut Lane: Tagwell Road. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 6.24 (pg 93).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Alternative to Copcut Lane: Development further south of Town. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 6.25 (pg 93).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Alternative to Copcut Lane: Development north of the Town. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 6.26 (pg 94).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
				SOC045 - Insert Urban extension at Stonebridge Cross Business Park to include 10 ha employment.	Site not considered by SA. Appendix 11 of SA Report states that employment land capacity will be met through the extension of the existing Stonebridge cross site, which may have more positive benefits for infrastructure because of existing facilities at the site.	SWDP 49: Droitwich Spa Urban Extension - Policy SWDP49/2: Stonebridge Cross Business Park (10 ha employment).	SA of site now provided in Appendix F of the SA Addendum.	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in November 2012 and May 2013.
Evesham									
Supporting text Policy CS6: South West - residential development comprising 800	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Hampton 8.64ha (400 dwellings).	SWDP 12: Evesham Urban Extensions - Policy SWDP12/2 South Pershore	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP 12/2 South Pershore Road, Hampton	No change.	No change.	SWDP 51: Evesham Urban Extensions - Policy B. SWDP51/2 South of Pershore Road,	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and	This now includes site SWDP50/1 Land at the bottom of Peewit Road which has increased the	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
greenfield site off Pershore Road, Hampton.		Road, Hampton (approx. 400 dwellings).	(approx. 400 dwellings)			Hampton (400 dwellings).	November 2012.	number of dwellings to be provided by 1.	
Supporting text Policy CS6: East (within the A46T) residential development comprising 1500 on greenfield sites either side of Offenham Road.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land off Offenham Road 38.59ha (800 dwellings).	Planning permission was granted for the site before the SWDP Preferred Options was published and was therefore removed from Plan.	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.3 (pg 42). However, site was already granted planning permission.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Supporting text Policy CS6: South - employment 10ha at Vale Business Park.	Not subject to SA.	SWDP 12: Evesham Urban Extensions - Policy SWDP12/3 Vale Industrial Park, Evesham (10 ha employment)	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP12/3 Vale Industrial Park, Evesham.	SOC046 - Land off Vale Park - Increase to 20 ha employment land.	Changes considered in Appendix 11 of SA Report.	SWDP 51: Evesham Urban Extensions - Policy C. SWDP51/3 Vale Industrial Park, Evesham (20 ha employment).	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).	No change.	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Alternative: Developing the historic battlefield site, the River Avon flood plain and to the east of the A46 (T). Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.13 (pg 61).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Option rejected, reasons provided in para 7.14 (pg 100).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Direct more growth to the villages and direct growth to a new settlement. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.14 (pg 61).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Option rejected, reasons provided in para 7.16 (pg 100).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
	Land off Cheltenham Road 44.02ha (600 - 800	SWDP 12: Evesham Urban Extensions -	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP12/1	No change.	No change.	SWDP 51: Evesham Urban Extensions -	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
	dwellings) - Subject to detailed individual SA matrix.	Policy SWDP12/1 Cheltenham Road (approx. 400 dwellings).	Cheltenham Road (approx. 400 dwellings).			Policy A. SWDP51/1 Cheltenham Road (approx. 400 dwellings).	published in September 2011 and November 2012.		published in September 2011 and November 2012.
Malvern									
Supporting text Policy CS4: Scope for limited release development on the QinetiQ site, related to further redevelopment and rationalisation of facilities for high technology businesses in addition to the net increase in site area identified through the Local Plan.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at QinetiQ 12ha (300 dwellings, 4.5 ha employment)	Policy SWDP14 - Malvern QinetiQ (approx. 250 dwellings, at least 4.5 ha employment)	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP14 - Malvern QinetiQ (approx. 250 dwellings, at least 4.5 ha employment)	SOC057 - Minor changes to the text, site now called Malvern Technology Centre.	Changes considered in Appendix 11 of SA Report.	SWDP 53: Malvern Technology Centre (QinetiQ) (approx. 250 dwellings, at least 4.5 ha employment).	SA of site presented in SWDP Preferred Options SA Report (Sept 2011) and any change considered in the SWDP Pre-Submission SA Report (Nov 2012).	Increase in the provision of housing by 50 dwellings (new total of 300).	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Supporting text of Policy CS4: North-east of Malvern, in the vicinity of Newland, east of railway to accommodate some 1,100 dwellings and 10ha of employment land	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Newland - Site B 50ha (1,100 dwellings, 10 ha employment, open space, community uses).	Policy SWDP17 - Development at Newland 51ha (700 dwellings, 10 ha employment, community infrastructure)	Subject to individual SA - Development at Newland (700 dwellings, 10 ha employment)	No change.	No change.	SWDP 56: Development at north east Malvern (700 dwellings, 10 ha employment).	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.	Increase in the provision of housing by 100 dwellings (new total of 800).	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.
Supporting text Policy CS4: South of Townsend Way, east of Mayfield Road, for 500 dwellings and 7ha of employment land.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Mayfield Road - Site C 35ha (500 dwellings, 7 ha employment, open space, community needs).	Alternative to Newlands: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.35 (pg 117).	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.4 (pg 43).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Growth to the North/ North-East of	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Malvern. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 6.23 (pg 52).	alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.								
	Land at Cales Farm (500 dwellings 2-3 ha employment) - Subject to detailed individual SA matrix.	No reference to site.	Reasons for rejection provided in SA Report Table 5.4 (pg 43).	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at Blackmore Park Industrial Estate (120 dwellings, 3.8 ha employment)	Policy SWDP15: Blackmore Park (4.5 ha employment)	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP15: Blackmore Park (4.5 ha employment)	No change.	No change.	Policy SWDP 54: Blackmore Park (4.5 ha employment).	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.
		Alternative to QinetiQ: Allocate for Housing only. Option	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
		rejected, reasons provided in para 8.15 (pg 109).	given the reasons set out in the Plan.						
		Alternative to QinetiQ: Allocate for Employment only. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.16 (pg 109).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Alternative to Newlands: Direct more growth to the villages: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.38 (pg 117).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
		Policy SWDP 16: Three Counties Showground.	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP 16: Three Counties Showground.	No change.	No change.	Policy SWDP 55: Three Counties Showground	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
							2011 and November 2012.		2011 and November 2012.
		Alternative to Three Counties Showground. Not to bring forward allocation and rely on current planning agreements. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 8.27 (pg 113).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Pershore									
Supporting text of Policy CS9: North east - residential comprising 450 dwellings either side of Wyre Road.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land South of Wyre Road 11ha (400 dwellings, 5 ha employment)	SWDP 19: Pershore Urban Extensions SWDP 19/1 Land to the North of Pershore (600 dwellings)	Subject to individual SA - Policy SWDP19/1 Land to the North of Pershore (600 dwellings).	SOC061 - redrawing of boundary to reflect more accurately the area required for development.	Boundary change not considered through SA.	SWDP 47: Pershore Urban Extension - Policy A. SWDP47/1 Land to the north of Pershore (600 dwellings).	Boundary change considered in Table 5.1, Section 5 of the SA Addendum.	Boundary change with the parcels of land to the east of Station Road removed and extension of land to the north (similar to what the	Considered in Appendix A of the SA Addendum 2014.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Supporting text of Policy CS9: North - residential comprising 400 dwellings off Station Road.	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Land at the West of B4082 35ha (500 dwellings)							original northern boundary was like in 2011). Increase in housing provision by 50 dwellings (new total of 650 dwellings).	
Supporting text of Policy CS9: South west - residential comprising 150 dwellings off Three Springs Road. Planning permission granted and construction started after publication of Preferred Options Document. Site removed from consideration .	N/A - Option rejected.- See previous column.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
Supporting text of Policy CS9: North east - employment 10ha Keytec 7.	Not subject to SA.	SWDP 19: Pershore Urban Extensions - Policy SWDP19/2 Land to the North East of Pershore (5 ha employment)	Subject to individual SA - SWDP 19/2 Land to the north-east of Pershore (5 ha employment)	No change.	No change.	SWDP 47: Pershore Urban Extension - Policy B. SWDP47/2 Land to the north-east of Pershore (5 ha employment).	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.	No change.	No change, SA of site presented in SA Reports published in September 2011 and November 2012.
Alternative: Other urban extension, Option rejected, reasons provided in para 11.12 (pg 75).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative to Urban Extensions: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 9.15 (pg 124).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
Alternative: Identify locations for a limited proportion of residential development in Pershore and disperse the remainder to	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative to Urban Extensions: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 9.16 (pg 124).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
adjacent Category 1 and 2 Villages. Option rejected, reasons provided in para 11.13 (pg 75).									
Alternative: Identify locations for a limited proportion of residential development in Pershore and locate the remainder at an enlarged settlement based on Worcestershire Parkway/Norton area. Option rejected, reasons provided in	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	Alternative to Urban Extensions: Option rejected, reasons provided in para 9.17 (pg 124).	Not subject to SA, as not considered a reasonable alternative given the reasons set out in the Plan.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.

SWJCS Preferred Options 2008	SA/SEA of Strategic Sites (preferred Options) (May 2009/ December 2009)	SWDP Public Consultation Document (September 2011)	SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (September 2011)	SWDP proposed Significant Changes to the 2011 Preferred Options (August 2012)	SWDP Pre-Submission Consultation: SA Report (Integrated Appraisal) (November 2012)	SWDP Proposed Submission Document (January 2013)	SA Addendum (May 2013)	SWDP Examination (2014)	SA Addendum Report (July 2014)
para 11.14 (pg 75).									
	Subject to detailed individual SA matrix - Throckmorton Airfield 171ha (2,500 dwellings, 10 ha employment, 350ha gross area) Rejected for planning	No reference to site.	SA Report 2011 gave reasons for rejection (pg. 44).	Summary of significant changes - There were representations advocating the allocation of a new town at Throckmorton Airfield.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.	N/A - Option rejected.
						SWDP 3: Employment, Housing and Retail Provision Requirement and Delivery - Policy G. Major Rural Employment Allocations (Throckmorton Airfield and Interbrook, Pinvin).	SA of the sites now provided in Appendix F of the SA Addendum.	No change.	SA of the sites provided in Appendix F of the SA Addendum 2013.