

Worcestershire Parkway							
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS0058 Land off Church Lane, Whittington	CFS0075 Land adjacent to Brickbarns Farm, Evesham Road, Egdon	CFS0092a Land at Woodbury Lane, Norton Juxta Kempsey	CFS0092b Land at Woodbury Lane, Norton Juxta Kempsey	CFS0180 Land off Froggerly Lane/Boxbrush Drive, Stoulton	CFS0181 Land at the south side of Stoulton, off Wadborough Road and the slip road from the B4084 Stoulton
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Category 2	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Category 4	Category 4
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?						
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.						
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?						
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?						
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?						
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?						
	Is the site in Green Belt?						
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?						
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?						
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.							
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?						
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area or on archaeology?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?						
	Has the site has been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?						
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?						
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?						
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.						
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.						
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?						
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.						
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?							
Ruled in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In	In	In	In	In	In	
Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	
Summary	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Whittington. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Whittington it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Egdon. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Egdon it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton Juxta Kempsey. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton Juxta Kempsey it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton Juxta Kempsey. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton Juxta Kempsey it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	

Worcestershire Parkway							
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS0270 Land at Worcester Parkway, Norton Juxta Kempsey	CFS0288 Open fields to the South of Worcester Parkway Station, Norton	CFS0393 SO 905 489, Stoulton & Hawbridge	CFS0596 Land bounded by Whittington Road, Woodbury Lane, Church Lane and the M5	CFS0629 Wadborough Hall Farm, Wadborough	CFS0744 Land adjacent to Egdon House, Egdon
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Open Countryside	Category 4	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Category 4
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?						
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.						
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?						
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?						
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?						
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?						
	Is the site in Green Belt?						
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?						
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?						
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.							
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?						
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area or on archaeology?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?						
	Has the site has been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?						
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?						
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?						
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.						
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.						
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?						
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.						
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?							
Ruled in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In	In	In	In	In	In	
Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	
Summary	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Wadborough. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Wadborough it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Whittington. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Whittington it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Egdon. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Egdon it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	

Worcestershire Parkway							
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS0776 Land adj. to the B4084, Hawbridge	CFS0784 Norton Fields Farm, Whittington	CFS0846 Land off Manor Farm, Stoulton	CFS0916 Land adjacent to Stoulton / The Grange Stoulton	CFS0917 Land South of Worcester Parkway Station	CFS0921a Wadborough Hall Farm, Wadborough
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Category 4	Open Countryside	Category 4	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Category 4
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?						
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.						
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?						
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?						
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?						
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?						
	Is the site in Green Belt?						
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?						
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?						
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.							
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?						
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area or on archaeology?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?						
	Has the site has been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?						
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?						
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?						
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.						
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.						
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?						
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.						
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?							
OUTCOME	Rule in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In	In	In	In	In	In
	Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed
	Summary	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Whittington. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Whittington it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Wadborough. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Wadborough it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton Juxta Kempsey. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton Juxta Kempsey it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Wadborough. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Wadborough it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.

Worcestershire Parkway							
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS0921b Wadborough Hall Farm, Wadborough	CFS0921c Wadborough Hall Farm, Wadborough	CFS0960 Land off Woodbury Lane, Norton	CFS0985b Land at Cooksholme Farm, Wadborough Road, Littleworth	CFS0988 Land off Wadborough Road, Stoulton	CFS1080 No.1 and No.7 St James Close, Littleworth
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Open Countryside	Category 3	Category 4
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?						
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.						
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?						
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?						
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?						
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?						
	Is the site in Green Belt?						
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?						
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?						
	Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.						
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?						
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area or on archaeology?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?						
	Has the site has been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?						
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?						
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?						
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.						
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.						
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?						
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.						
	Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?						
OUTCOME	Ruled in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In	In	In	In	In	In
	Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed
	Summary	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Wadborough. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Wadborough it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Littleworth. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Littleworth it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton Juxta Kempsey. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton Juxta Kempsey it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Wadborough. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Wadborough it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Littleworth. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Littleworth it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.

Worcestershire Parkway							
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS1099 Sunbrae, Wadborough Road, Stoulton	CFS1104 Land north of Whittington Road, Worcester	CFS1104b Land north of Whittington Road, Worcester	CFS1105 Boxbush, Froggery Lane, Stoulton	CFS1106 Land adjacent railway line, Windmill Hill Farm, Stoulton	CFS1117 Land west of Windmill Hill Farm, Stoulton
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Category 3	Category 3	Category 4	Category 4	Category 4	Open Countryside
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?						
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.						
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?						
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?						
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?						
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?						
	Is the site in Green Belt?						
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?						
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?						
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.							
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?						
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area or on archaeology?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?						
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?						
	Has the site has been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?						
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?						
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?						
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.						
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.						
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?						
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.						
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?							
OUTCOME	Ruled in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In	In	In	In	In	In
	Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	To be confirmed
	Summary	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Whittington. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Whittington it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Whittington. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Whittington it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Stoulton. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Stoulton it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.	This site is located beyond the development boundary of Norton Juxta Kempsey. Therefore for the purposes of considering the suitability of the site meeting its housing needs it is considered to be too far removed and would usually rule the site out with respect to a SWDPR housing allocation. However for some sites near Norton Juxta Kempsey it would be premature to rule them out until the provisional allocation boundaries for the strategic growth locations have been determined. For sites that do ultimately fall within the strategic allocation boundaries the proposed land use(s) will not be confirmed until the associated master planning work has been concluded.