

Hallow									
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:									
	CFS0135 Land at Greenhill Lane (plot 1)	CFS0136 Land at Greenhill Lane (plot 2)	CFS0183 Land off Church Lane	CFS0343 Land lying to south of Tinkers Coppice Farm, Main Road (plot 1)	CFS0343sc Land lying to south of Tinkers Coppice Farm, Main Road (plot 1)	CFS0344 Land lying to north and west of 3 & 4 Pound Piece Cottages, Main Road (plot 2)	CFS0390 SO 824 583	CFS0432 Land at Shouton Lane	
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Category 1 - within development boundary	Category 1 - adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - small part of site adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - Adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - Adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - small part of site adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - adjacent, but not abut the development boundary	Category 1 - adjacent to development boundary.
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?	No - 110m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib	No - South of site 30m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib	No - north of site 100m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib	No - south of site 270m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib	No - south of site 290m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib	Yes	No - SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site	No - SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?	Yes but access must be onto A443		Potential access issues to be confirmed					
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?	Severn Trent - There are known hydraulic flooding issues in the downstream network. This site individually is unlikely to cause significant issues, provided surface water is managed sustainably, however a cumulative modelling assessment is recommended.	Severn Trent - There are known hydraulic flooding issues in the downstream network. This site individually is unlikely to cause significant issues, provided surface water is managed sustainably, however a cumulative modelling assessment is recommended with site CFS135	Severn Trent - The site is likely to require pumping, there are hydraulic flooding issues within the catchment, hydraulic modelling is recommended.	Severn Trent - High Impact Potential on sewerage infrastructure. There are known hydraulic flooding issues in the downstream network. This site would significantly increase the population served by the downstream network. A cumulative modelling assessment is recommended.	Severn Trent - High Impact Potential on sewerage infrastructure. There are known hydraulic flooding issues in the downstream network. A cumulative modelling assessment is recommended.	Severn Trent - Site runs down to Hallow STWs. Site can drain directly to works. Southern most corner of the site will require pumping back to the head of the works. Existing inlet sewer runs across the site and will require easement and possible diversion.	Severn Trent - There are known hydraulic flooding issues immediately downstream of this site. Hydraulic modelling is recommended to determine impacts.	Severn Trent - There are known hydraulic flooding issues immediately downstream of this site. Hydraulic modelling is recommended to determine impacts. Due to the scale of this site significant impacts are less likely providing surface water is managed sustainably.
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?	No	No	No. impact zone SSSI cumulative?	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the site in Green Belt?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.	Site would surround small number of existing properties and be adjacent to Listed Building	Yes	Unlikely to be compatible. Site abuts Conservation Area, some residential properties and agricultural land	Site would surround existing residential development at Coppice Close	Yes. Adjacent uses residential.	Partly. Site would surround existing residential development at Park Lane, but mostly open countryside. Sewerage plant adjacent to south west corner of site.	Yes. Site within 50m of around 6 properties in Shouton Lane and Hallow Mill, but mostly surrounded by open countryside	Yes. Adjacent to residential and open countryside.	
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?								
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area?	No. Evaluation, possible mitigation	No. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	Yes. Setting of adjacent CA and LBS. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	No. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	No. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	Yes. Adj to CA and adj GI* Church. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	No. Site 100m from Conservation Area.	No. Evaluation, possible mitigation. Site 90m from Conservation Area.
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).	Yes. Yes. Adj GI LBS and setting of GI LBS. Site adjacent to Windsor Cottage. 30m from Hallow CoE Primary School.	No. Potentially within setting of Windsor Cottage	Yes. Setting of adj. CA and LBS	No	No	30m from Church of St Philip and St James - potential impact on setting. Yes. Adj to CA and adj GI* Church	No	Yes. Setting of GI LB. The Hollies 40m from site. Carey Villa and Hallow Primary School approx. 65m from site
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?	No	No	Adjacent to traditional orchard. SSSI impact zone. Ecological officer comments - LB, SSSI	Impact zone , adjacent to priority grassland BAP habitat, PROW, <100 from ASNW	Impact zone , adjacent to priority grassland BAP habitat, PROW, <100 from ASNW	Site adjacent to Laughem Brook Site of Regional or Local Wildlife Importance. LWS wraps around west and south boundaries. laughem Brook LWS, PROW, - ponds adjacent	Site is 50m from Laughem Brook Site of Regional or Local Wildlife Importance. <100m LWS. Ecological officer comments - Landscape, SSSI, TPO	BAP habitats - broadleaved woodland, scrub woodland, semi improved/neutral grassland.
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.	No	No - 180m from TPO covered area	Uncertain - north-east corner of site adjacent to TPO covered area	No	No	TPO's adjacent to the site	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?	No	No	No	No	No	Yes. Whole site in Significant Gap	No, but site is adjacent to Significant Gap	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?								
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?								
Has the site been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?	North of site susceptible to surface water flooding. Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	No. Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	No. Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	South of site adjacent to areas susceptible to surface water flooding. Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	North east of site adjacent to areas susceptible to surface water flooding. Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	
Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Western half of site Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Eastern half of site Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	
Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?	No. Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	No. Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities on site. Within 250m landfill buffer. Risk assessment required. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities on site. Within 250m landfill buffer. Risk assessment required. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities on site. PCL site adjacent - Sewage works. Risk assessment required. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings adjacent to south-west corner of site. Contaminated land at Hunting Grove approx. 320m from site	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of >10 residential dwellings	
Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.	Adjacent to bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1km from Worcester Foregate Street station.	Adjacent to bus stop. Approx. 2.1km from nearest rail station (Worcester Foregate Street).	Adjacent to bus stop. Approx. 2.1km from nearest rail station (Worcester Foregate Street).	200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	
How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.	<100m from shop (Ladygo Stores), <100m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	200m from shop (Ladygo Stores), 200m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	Approx. 400m to nearest shop (Ladygo), 400m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5 km to nearest Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	<100m to nearest shop (Post Office), 200m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5 km to nearest Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	<100m to nearest shop (Post Office), 200m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5 km to nearest Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	Approx. 800m from shop (Ladygo Stores), 800m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	Approx. 300m from shop (Ladygo Stores), 200m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	Approx. 200m from shop (Ladygo Stores), 100m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	
Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?	Yes. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes / possible. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes / possible. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes / possible. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes / possible. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	Yes. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	
Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?	No		Yes. Unnatural extension to development boundary.	Yes. If developed in its entirety, scale of site would materially affect character of the settlement.	No	If developed in its entirety, scale of site would materially affect character of the settlement.	Yes. Unnatural extension to development boundary.	No	
OUTCOME	Ruled in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	In.	In	Out. Scale. Potential impact on historic environment. Part of site high agricultural land value.	Out. Scale. Inadequate sewerage / water supply. Part of site high agricultural land value.	In	Out. Scale. Impact on historic environment. Potential impact on nature designation. Site high agricultural land value	Out. Site high agricultural land value	Out. Site high agricultural land value. Potential impact on historic environment.
	Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	No. Allocated	No. Proximity to gas pipeline. Grade 2 agricultural land. CFS0343sc preferred.	No. Scale and potential impact on historic environment. Part of site high agricultural land value.	No. Scale. Inadequate sewerage / water supply. Part of site high agricultural land value.	Yes. Potential impact on sewerage infrastructure to be examined further.	No. Scale. Impact on historic environment. Potential impact on nature designation. Site high agricultural land value	No. Site high agricultural land value. Also, SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site.	No. Site high agricultural land value. Potential impact on historic environment. Also, SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site.
	Summary	Allocated in SWDP. Planning application approved November 2018	Site not considered appropriate because of proximity to gas pipeline. CFS0343sc preferred.	Site not considered appropriate because of scale and potential impact on historic environment. Also, part of site high agricultural land value. And unnatural extension of development boundary.	Site not considered appropriate in its entirety because scale of site would materially affect character of the settlement and high impact on sewerage infrastructure. Also, western side of site high agricultural land value. North of site within landfill buffer. However, part of the site may potentially be suitable - see CFS0343sc	Overall a good site with no major constraints (subject to there being no sewerage infrastructure issues). Grade 2 agricultural land. Most of site in sand & gravel safeguarding area	Site not considered appropriate because scale of site inappropriate for this location. Also, potential impact on historic environment, site high agricultural land value, site of Regional or Local Wildlife Importance, Significant Gap and proximity to sewerage site. If site developed in its entirety it could materially change the character of the settlement. Unnatural extension to development boundary. PROW runs along western and eastern boundary and through south-west corner	Site not considered appropriate because site high agricultural land value. SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site. Also, unnatural extension to development boundary.	Site not considered appropriate because site high agricultural land value. SW Cadent Gas Distrib runs through centre of site.

Hallow				
SHELAA Site Reference and Address:		CFS0688 Land between Worcester Lodge and South Gate, Hallow Road	CFS0738 Land opposite The Orchard, Moseley Road (whole site inc CFS0739)	CFS0951 Land adjacent to Park Acre, Church Lane
MAJOR CRITERIA	Is the site within or adjacent to a Town, Category 1, 2 or 3 Village?	Category 1 - Site 900m from Hallow development boundary	Category 1 - adjacent to development boundary	Category 1 - adjacent to development boundary
	Have the landowner(s) clearly indicated that the site is available and can be developed within the plan period, (e.g. through SHELAA)?	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Is the site within Flood Zone 1 or 2? If yes, state Flood Zone.	No. 50m from Flood Zone 2	No	No
	Is the site more than 450 metres of hazardous pipeline or gas compression station?	No	No	No - site 400m from SW Cadent Gas Distrib
	Can the site be provided with safe access onto the public highway?	Potential access issues (to be confirmed)	Yes	Potential access issues to be confirmed
	Are the Sewerage and Water supplies adequate in the area?		Severn Trent - High Impact Potential on sewerage infrastructure. Site is likely to require pumping. There are known hydraulic flooding issues in the downstream network. This site would significantly increase the population served by the downstream network. A cumulative modelling assessment is recommended.	Severn Trent - Development scale is unlikely to result in any significant impact to the foul network, provided that surface water does not drain into the foul network
	Would development of the site compromise Internationally or Nationally designated site of ecological importance?	No	No. Impact zone of SSSI Natural England must be consulted if >100 units.	No. impact zone of SSSI if outside settlement
	Is the site in Green Belt?	No	No	No
	Is the site in the AONB, or affect the setting of?	No	No	No
	Is the site affected by an adopted Neighbourhood Plan policy or allocation? If yes, what?	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	No - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation
Are the adjacent/surrounding land uses compatible with residential amenity? Please state what they are.	Agriculture	Yes. Residential and agricultural.	Unlikely to be compatible. Site abuts Conservation Area, some residential properties and horse paddock	
OTHER CRITERIA	Would development of the site have an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure Network?			
	Would development of the site result in a significant net loss of protected open space?	No	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a conservation area?	No	No. DBA, survey, targeted evaluation and possible further mitigation.	Yes. Site abuts Conservation Area. In and adj to CA. Adj to Gil LB. Mitigation
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on Listed Building (s).	Games Pavilion in gardens at Parkfield 5m from site	No	Site adjacent to 4 Park Farm Cottages and Park Farm House. In and adj to CA. Adj to Gil LB
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Scheduled Ancient Monument?	No	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Special Wildlife Site / Local Nature Reserve/ Regionally Important Geological Site or any other locally designated wildlife/landscape site?	Site of Regional or Local Wildlife Importance (Laughern Brook) + (Open Flowing Water) 160m from site	Poss. some unimproved grassland. Ecological officer comments - PROW. Badger records, pond on boundary, central hedgerow diving site. Large green field site - needs closer ecological assessment.	Great crested newts and grass snake, neutral grassland. Ecological officer comments - There is a complex of sites in this area - some large, there should be an ecological appraisal prior to allocation as the landscape-scale impact of a new settlement cannot be quantified without more information. The area of LWS should not be allocated
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on TPOs.	TPO 100m from site	No	No. 2 TPOs within 50m
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on a Significant Gap?	Yes. Whole site within Significant Gap	No	No
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient woodland?			
	Would development of the site have a detrimental impact on ancient hedgerow?		Hedgerow present up through centre of site. Will need to be assessed or retained.	
	Has the site been subject to a surface water flooding event? If yes, is there a viable engineering solution to overcome it?	No	Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.	Severn Trent - Surface water should be managed on site through SuDS or to watercourses/ponds where available.
	Would development of the site result in a loss of best or most versatile (Grade 1 or 2) agricultural land?	No	Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification	Western half of site Grade 2 on Agricultural Land Classification
	Is the site on contaminated land? Is there contaminated land near to site, close enough to impact its potential development?	No	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Consult WRS on Air Quality and Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of ≥10 residential dwellings	Contaminated Land Comments - No History of PCL activities. Air Quality Comments - Standard Mitigation Measures applicable to sites of ≥10 residential dwellings. Site not contaminated land but unknown filled ground 50m from site
	Is there a bus stop or train station within 400m of the site? Please state distance.		200m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1 to nearest train station (Foregate St)	300m from bus stop. Approx. 2.1km from Worcester Foregate Street station.
	How far is the site from the following key services - primary school, general store, post office, doctors surgery and parish/village hall? Please list the distance in travelling metres for each key service.		Approx. 200m from shop (Ladygo Stores), 100m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5km to Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)	Approx. 600m to nearest shop (Ladygo), 500m from Hallow C of E Primary School and 6.5 km to nearest Secondary School (Chantry School, Martley)
	Would development of the site result in an adverse impact on local health provision?		Yes / possible. Housing and employment: Are employment & education sites accessible within 3 miles? Age friendly environments: Will this site be conducive to an ageing population accessing the wider community and facilities (without access to a personal vehicle). Community facilities: Will residents easily be able to access: 1) healthcare (Large and/or cumulative impact on health services including ability to register with a GP practice. Community health care may be impacted. Acute trust provision would need to be addressed (including shortfall in allocation of beds/staff for the financial year in which the development is built) 2) community/village halls 3) recreational activities 4) Shops/groceries 5) Green space Air quality, noise, light and water: Will the proposed site link up with appropriate road capacity and continuous cycle & pedestrian routes (taking into account all surrounding developments).	No
	Would development of the site assist in delivering / supporting identified community infrastructure needs e.g. in Neighbourhood Plan.	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation	Unknown - Neighbourhood Plan currently in preparation
Would the development of the site, including the creation of an access, materially affect the character of the settlement?	Unknown. Potential impact on setting of Parkfield	No	Potential impact on setting of Conservation Area.	
OUTCOME	Rule in or out of SHELAA? If out, reason?	Out. Location - isolated location. Also, potential impact on historic environment.	Out. Scale. Site high agricultural land value.	Out. Impact on historic environment.
	Should the site be carried forward for potential allocation in the SWDPR?	No. Location - isolated location. Also, potential impact on historic environment.	No. Scale. Site high agricultural land value.	No. Impact on historic environment.
	Summary	Site not considered appropriate because isolated location. Also, potential impact on historic environment (Listed Building) and site is within Significant Gap. Potential access issues - to be confirmed.	Site not considered appropriate because scale of site inappropriate in this location. Site high agricultural land value. Also, potential high impact on sewerage infrastructure and potential impact on hedgerows.	Site not considered appropriate because of impact on historic environment. Potential ecological issues would require further examination. Potential access issues (to be confirmed)