

## Précis of SAP Village Questionnaires PART 2

Village: LOWER BROADHEATH	Part 2 Possible Sites		
<b>Summary of questionnaire responses:</b>			
	<b>SITE</b>	<b>FOR COUNT</b>	<b>AGAINST COUNT</b>
	MHLB01	0	0
	MHLB02	0	0
	MHLB03	0	0
	MHLB04	1	0
	MHLB06	1	1
	MHLB07	4	1
	MHLB08	0	1
	MHLB09	0	1
	MHLB10	1	1
	MHLB11	0	3
	MHLB12	0	0
	MHLB13	1	0
	MHLB14	0	0
	MHLB15	0	0
	MHLB16	0	0
	<b>All Sites</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>
Sites MHLB09, MHLB11 and MHLB12 are strategic sites			
<b>Summary of site MHAL01 comments:</b>			
<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>		
No comments made	No comments made		
<b>Summary of site MHAL02 comments:</b>			
<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>		
No comments made	No comments made		
<b>Summary of site MHAL03 comments:</b>			
<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>		
No comments made	No comments made		
<b>Summary of site MHAL04 comments:</b>			
<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Land on the southern outskirts of Lower Broadheath which would support further housing development</li> </ul>	No comments made		
<b>Summary of site MHAL06 comments:</b>			
<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sensible infill sites</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is visually detached from the settlement and is not well related to the settlement form</li> </ul>		

**Summary of site MHAL07 comments:**

**FOR**

- Sensible infill sites
- Very suitable for a housing allocation being at the centre of the village
- They border existing village, therefore not much impact.
- Promoting site MHLB07 conclude site is the best located site in terms of access to facilities in the village and in landscape terms it relates well to existing form of the settlement. It is therefore well placed to be identified for development for 65 dwellings

**AGAINST**

- The road / transport infrastructure is unsuitable, There is good quality farmland, It is an area of limited employment opportunities.

**Summary of site MHAL08 comments:**

**FOR**

No comments made

**AGAINST**

- Is visually prominent on the north side of the settlement where land slopes away providing long views. The site is stated as brownfield but contains farm buildings which do not fall within the PPG definition of brownfield.

**Summary of site MHAL09 comments:**

**FOR**

No comments made

**AGAINST**

- Roads are not capable of taking extra traffic.

**Summary of site MHAL10 comments:**

**FOR**

- Suitable for housing allocation as within the Village boundary.

**AGAINST**

- Is recorded as not having landowner support and therefore it is not technically available for development and cannot be considered as deliverable.

**Summary of site MHAL11 comments:**

**FOR**

**AGAINST**

- The area on this side of the City is an unique aspect of Worcestershire around Laugherne Brook and should not be developed.
- Proposed number of 3,500 / 3950 houses is equivalent to a new town (the size of Pershore) without the necessary infrastructure agreed or indeed

	<p>planned. As and when it is proven that the site can sustain that number of homes, it would be foolish to consider such a development. In addition the land proposed is grade 2 agricultural land - which Government suggests we need to preserve in order to grow food, let alone the desecration of a currently rural and village culture. Finally the one aspect that is key to any development of size within this site must be accompanied by the completion of the ring road titled the NW link, which I understand is too expensive.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is not suitable because it is greenfield. Would mean too much traffic and greenfield lost. Strain on local infrastructure.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Summary of site MHAL12 comments:</b> <b>FOR</b></p>	<p><b>AGAINST</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>Summary of site MHAL13 comments:</b> <b>FOR</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borders existing village, therefore not much impact</li> </ul>	<p><b>AGAINST</b> No comments made</p>
<p><b>Summary of site MHAL14 comments:</b> <b>FOR</b></p> <p>No comments made</p>	<p><b>AGAINST</b> No comments made</p>
<p><b>Summary of site MHAL15 comments:</b> <b>FOR</b></p> <p>No comments made</p>	<p><b>AGAINST</b> No comments made</p>
<p><b>Summary of site MHAL16 comments:</b> <b>FOR</b></p> <p>No comments made</p>	<p><b>AGAINST</b> No comments made</p>
<p><b>Summary of suggested sites not identified through the SHLAA:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residents of Lower broadheath are not opposed to further housing within the village - indeed it would be welcomed. It is all a matter of volume. There are many sites within the village boundary e.g. on either side of Peachley Lane after current housing; an area bounded by Bell Lane(left side) and Martley Road (going towards Martley) which might accommodate 3-500 houses.</li> </ul>	