South Worcestershire Development Plan

Précis of SAP Town Questionnaires

Town: Pershore	Part 2 Possible Sites

Summary of questionnaire responses:

SITE	FOR COUNT	AGAINST COUNT
71-13	20	19
71-17	23	15
71-18	21	16
71-24	22	11
71-25	16	14
71-31	14	20
71-32	2	0
Total	118	95

The most popular site was 71-17 Land at Allesborough Hill, north of Holloway. However, three other sites (71-24 land at and adjoining 62 Three Springs Rd; 71-18 Land off Three Springs Rd and rear of Conningsby Drive and 71-13 land south of Holloway) all gained relatively high levels of support. But all of these sites (bar 71-24) also had relatively high numbers of votes against them.

Summary of site 71-13 Land south of Holloway: FOR AGAINST

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Reasonably unobtrusive
- Widlife haven
- Heavily wooded
- Prominent site from Pensham Hill
- Water pressure problems
- Problem with flooding
- Road already congested rat run

Summary of site 71-17 Land at Allesborough Hill, north of Holloway: FOR AGAINST

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Access already provided
- Reasonably unobtrusive
- Elevated visually prominent
- Wildlife haven
- Would impair approach to Pershore
- Unreliable water and electric supply
- Sewer/drainage problems
- Road already congested rat run
- Potential overlooking of adjoining bungalows
- Increase use of hazardous junction at Besford Rd and Worcester Rd
- Awkward shaped site
- Potential for noise/smell nuisance from neighbouring farm

South Worcestershire Development Plan

Summary of site 71-18 Land off Three Springs Road and rear of Conningsby Dr:

FOR

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Only if 71-24 developed
- Reasonably unobtrusive

AGAINST

- Loss of green fields
- Wildlife haven –ponds, Gt Crested Newts
- Increase traffic congestion
- Limited school places in sw Pershore
- Poor access
- Strain on utilities

Summary of site 71-24 Land at 62 Three Springs Rd and adjoining land: FOR AGAINST

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Replace currently unsightly uses
- · Need to avoid skyline
- Mixed use inc possible retail
- Within reasonable distance of shops, services, etc

- Good quality agricultural land
- Use for allotments
- Road infrastructure not suitable particularly jct of Three Springs Rd and Allesborough Hill

Summary of site 71-25 Land south of Holloway: FOR AGAINST

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Road already congested rat run
- Water pressure problems
- Wildlife haven
- Heavily wooded site
- Access would be dangerous
- Partly covered by covenant preventing development

Summary of site 71-31 Land west of Defford Road: FOR AGAINST

- Rounds off the town without encroaching too much on green areas
- Not liable to flooding
- Possible retail
- Affects few people
- Good access

- Difficult access on dangerous stretch of road
- Retain to allow for future expansion of cemetery
- Good quality agricultural land
- Would impair approach to Pershore
- Would harm setting of Tiddesley Wood nature reserve (SSSI)

Summary of site 71- 32 Land at Tempwood, Three Springs Rd: FOR AGAINST

Affects few people

None recorded

South Worcestershire Development Plan

Summary of general comments regarding the sites:

- Retention of boundary hedges, trees and other vegetation
- Allocations must be informed by full ecological evaluation and GI study
- SUDs should be used
- Lack of demonstrated need for extra housing for Pershore e.g. capacity at Defford Rd pumping station should be carefully assessed
- Local infrastructure inadequate to cope with extra development
- Additional facilities required for schools, medical, transport and other community facilities
- Avoid development on the skyline
- Brownfield sites preferred
- Development to south of town generally inappropriate as railway station, employment and school all to north
- Provision of link road from Wyre Rd to A44
- Development to south of town generally inappropriate as railway station, employment sites and school all to north
- Land drainage/surface water run-off difficulties
- · Loss of green space/agricultural land

Summary of suggested sites not identified through the SHLAA:

- Land north of Ongrils Close
- Brownfield sites e.g. former Cottage Hospital, former Health centre, Gulf Garage site

Other sites suggested have already been identified through the SHLAA and include:

- Brownfield sites e.g. former Texaco Garage site
- Land at Wyre Road
- Land at Station Road